

**To:** Morin, Jeff[Morin.Jeff@epa.gov]; Hart, Daniel[Hart.Daniel@epa.gov]  
**Cc:** Smith, Roxanne[Smith.Roxanne@epa.gov]; Smith, Paula[Smith.Paula@epa.gov]  
**From:** Davis, Tim  
**Sent:** Tue 8/18/2015 1:11:35 AM  
**Subject:** The nightly hand-off!

Hi everyone! It's the earliest nightly hand-off ever! EOC is shut down for the evening.

What I did:

1. I finally got an answer for this page; my latest version (which I couldn't quite tell with certainty if it was a request from EOC or a statement, and we always need 100% certainty on these rewrites) is approved:

<http://www2.epa.gov/goldkingmine/gold-king-mine-data-august-17-2015>

2. This page is updated with the link to yesterday's press release:

<http://www2.epa.gov/goldkingmine/press-releases-and-updates-gold-king-mine-response>

3. This data page is updated:

<http://www2.epa.gov/goldkingmine/gold-king-mine-data-august-17-2015>

4. And the main data page is republished, of course.

So, there's nothing big to report! The only things I know are pending are the GIS viewer and the livestock flyer (irrigation locations for livestock within Navajo Nation's borders).

However...The EOC **\*still\*** appears to be treating the website like a TOTAL AFTERTHOUGHT. I know that they're absolutely swamped with analyzing data, updating the GIS viewer, dealing with the press, answering to the public, working with Congress and

governors, and many, many other issues. However, when they finally get to the point where they're handing things off to me, that's often when my questions START. And I don't want to put anything on the page that has even one letter incorrect.

I sent Laura Gentile a separate note letting her know this. Most nights this week I was forced to make educated guess after educated guess **\*after\*** the EOC shut down (and when no one else was around/awake). For these situations in particular (but truly in general), they've got to tell us EXACTLY what goes on which page, what the link text should say, and everything else involved. Plus, they still end up making changes **\*after\*** things have been approved (which can certainly happen, but it makes we wonder if they're looking carefully enough at the language on the page). You can ask Rich Mylott about his issues with the FAQ page as another example of this.

I gave Laura a breakdown of everything that's required when making even the simplest of website updates. She understands the Web, so I know that she'll get it and either pass the information along or make sure that she herself provides us everything we need (or at enough that we can easily fill in simple gaps). It's **\*usually\*** not very difficult at all, but, again, I need to be **100% certain** of everything.

Also: we agreed in principle on the 2PM EST call that ALL website update requests from EOC should have "Web update: approved for posting" in the subject line and that they would ONLY come from either Laura or whoever is in charge of EOC. I forgot to mention that to her. But as long as I receive an email from Laura Gentile, I **\*have\*** to assume that it's approved for posting to the website.

There...My conscience is clear, and I'm going to plan a Christmas trip to Costa Rica tonight just so that I don't have to think about GKM at all. ☺

Thanks again for your INCREDIBLE support on the website and everything else you do for all of us—especially me!!

I'll let Roxanne know how AWESOME you both are! Wait—I just did! ☺

Cheers,

Tim